

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/681,408	03/30/2001	Anthony G. Casciano	17243-00039	3200	
23465 7	590 10/16/2003		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. BEULICK			BASHORE, ALAIN L		
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2600			3624		
ST LOUIS, M	O 63102-2740		DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A :.	\
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/681,408	CASCIANO, ANTHONY G.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
L.	Alain L. Bashore	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the pe R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	tion(s)	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ req the application in condition for allowance to a find a find	pecause: See Continuation Sheet.	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or b rould be rejected is provided belo)⊠ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27,37-44 and 54-58</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.
9 \(Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Continuation of 5: does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The newly added definition of "deal" was not present in the originally filed application. A "review" is considered broad enough to encompass advance rate change considerations described in the prior art. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include allocating portfolio and underwriting expenses based upon workload drivers and corresponding trigger levels because King teaches the importance of matching objectives of deals (col 6, lines 31-37). The database art in considered within the purview of deal evaluation. The definition of deal is considered broad enough to encompass any economic relationship.

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER